

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 10-20388

D-2 Isaac Denel Meeks,

Honorable Sean F. Cox

Defendant.

ORDER STRIKING DOCKET ENTRY NO. 101

On August 23, 2010, Defendant Isaac Denel Meeks (“Defendant”) filed a pro se motion to request bond (Doc. No. 101).

Because Defendant is currently represented by counsel, Defendant is attempting to proceed in a “hybrid” fashion, both through his counsel and pro se by way of his motion. *See McKaskle v. Wiggins*, 465 U.S. 168, 183 (1984). Although the Sixth Amendment guarantees defendants the right to conduct their own defense and even represent themselves, *see Farretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806 (1975), the right of self-representation does not include the right to proceed in a hybrid manner. *McKaskle*, 465 U.S. at 183; *see also United States v. Mosely*, 810 F.2d 93, 97-98 (6th Cir. 1987). This Court will not allow Defendant to proceed in a hybrid manner in this action will therefore strike Defendant’s pro se submission, Docket Entry No. 101.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Docket Entry No. 101 is hereby STRICKEN.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Sean F. Cox
Sean F. Cox
United States District Judge

Dated: August 25, 2010

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on August 25, 2010, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Jennifer Hernandez
Case Manager